2026:AHC:233746

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 11874 of 2026

Shivam Singh @ Adarsh Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Rajesh Kumar Yadav, Shivam Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, Learned Counsel for the applicant, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.177 of 2026, under Sections 3/5A/5B/8 of the Uttar Pradesh Prevention of Cow Slaughter Act, Section 11 of The Prevention Of Cruelty To Animals Act, 1960 and Section 325 of the B.N.S. 2023, P.S.- Saiyadraza, District- Chandauli, with a prayer to enlarge him on anticipatory bail as the applicant apprehends his arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant has contended that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He was not named in the F.I.R. The police is said to have detained a vehicle during vehicle checking and from the said vehicle, eight bulls were recovered. Out of the eight bulls, two were found dead and the rest were supposedly tied up in a cruel manner. The allegation is that the bulls were being transported for slaughter. Learned Counsel for the applicant has contended that the vehicle is registered in the name of Ramjeet Singh and in the alleged confessional statement of the co-accused Ramjeet Singh, the applicant is stated to be the driver who escaped from the spot when the police stopped the vehicle for

checking. Learned Counsel has stated that other than the confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no direct evidence against the applicant. The Learned Counsel states that the applicant has no criminal antecedents.

4. The Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail but does not dispute the fact that the applicant has no criminal antecedents.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned Counsel for the parties and perused the material brought on the record.

6. The applicant is not named in the F.I.R. Other than the confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no direct evidence against the applicant. The applicant has no criminal antecedents.

7. Taking into account all the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it fit to enlarge the applicant on anticipatory bail.

8. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is **allowed**.

9. In the event of the arrest of the applicant **Shivam Singh @ Adarsh Singh**, he shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

i. the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. that the applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. that the applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the

prosecution witness;

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

November 13, 2026
Sachin

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
SACHIN TIWARI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad