2026:AHC:230736

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 49659 of 2026

Satendra Kumar Maurya And 03 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of Up Through Its Principal Secretary Home And Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Javed Raza
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 80

HON'BLE CHAWAN PRAKASH, J.

1. Heard Mr. Javed Raza, learned Counsel for the applicants and learned AGA for the State and perused the records.

2. The present application under Section 528 BNSS has been filed by the applicants with the following prayer:

"It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the application and quash the entered proceeding Criminal Case no. 3431 of 2013, arising out of Crime No. 179 of 2012, U/s 498A, 323, 504, 506, 406 I.P.C. and 3/4 DP Act, P.S. Mahilla Thana, District-Bareilly pending before Chief Judicial Magistrate (C.J.M.) Court Bareilly, In view of the compromise dated 02/09/2026 entered between the parties."

3. Learned Counsel for the applicants has submitted that the applicants and opposite party no.2 have compromised the dispute. It is further argued that the said compromise has also been verified by the concerned Trial Court, as such the proceedings against the applicants may be quashed.

4. Learned A.G.A. admitted the fact of compromise and stated that he has no objection if the proceeding of the aforesaid case are quashed against the applicants.

5. From the perusal of the record it is apparent that parties have entered into compromise and have settled their dispute amicably.

6. The law regarding the quashing of a case on the basis of settlement arrived between the parties is well settled. The Apex Court, in the cases of **(1) B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana and another: (2003)4 SCC 675; (2) Nikhil Merchant Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation : (2008) 9 SCC 677; (3) Manoj Sharma Vs. State and others: (2008) 16 SCC 1; (4) Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab: (2012) 10 SCC 303; (5) Shaifullah and others Vs. State of U.P. And another: 2013 (83) ACC 278 and (6) Parbatbhai Ahir@Parbatbhai @ Bhimsinbhai Karmur and others Vs. State of Gujarat and another: (2017) 9 SCC 641** has held that proceedings in cases in which the parties have settled their grievances can be quashed.

7. Upon perusal of the records and considering the law laid down by the Apex Court on the subject matter, this Court find that the present case is a fit case for exercising of powers to quash the proceedings, as prayed for by the applicants.

7. The entire proceedings of the aforesaid case, insofar as the present applicants, are hereby **quashed**.

9. The present application is **allowed**.

(Chawan Prakash,J.)

November 5, 2026
Vijay

Digitally signed by :-
VIJAY KUMAR SAHU
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad