2026:AHC:231533

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 11730 of 2026

Khushboo Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Rahul Yadav, Sanjeev Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, Learned counsel for the applicant, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.0027 of 2026, under Sections 3/5A/5B/8 of U.P. Prevention of Cow Slaughter Act and Section 11 of Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act and Section 109(1) BNS, Police Station- Kandwa, District Chandauli, with a prayer to enlarge her on anticipatory bail as the applicant apprehends her arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that the applicant is a lady and is the wife of co-accused Arvind Yadav, whose name surfaced during the investigation. The applicant has no criminal antecedents. Co-accused Arvind Yadav has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court today in NABAIL No. 11707 of 2026. The case of the applicant stands on a better footing and the applicant may be considered for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned AGA has opposed the prayer for bail but does not dispute the fact that the case of the applicant is at par with that of co-accused Arvind Yadav.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the parties and perused the material brought on the record.

6. The applicant is a lady and is not named in the FIR. Co-accused Alakh Niranjan Gupta, Arvind Yadav and Dhanna Kumar have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. Co-accused Kamlesh Bind and Shailendra Bind, who were arrested at the spot and from whose possession five animals, stated to be cows or its progeny, were recovered, have been granted regular bail. The case of the applicant stands on a similar footing. Accordingly, a case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

7. Taking into account all the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it fit to enlarge the applicant on anticipatory bail.

8. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is allowed.

9. In the event of the arrest of the applicant **Khushboo Yadav**, she shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

i. the applicant shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. that the applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. that the applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively

for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

November 5, 2026
S.A.

Digitally signed by :-
SALMAN AHMAD
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad