2026:AHC:231398

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 18571 of 2026

Priya Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Arya Gautam, Atipriya Gautam, Sr. Advocate, Vaishnavi Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State and perused the record.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed for the following relief:

> "a) issue, a writ, in the nature of **Certiorari**, Quashing the Impugned Suspension Order dated 21/12/2025, Passed by the Respondent No. 3, the Additional Commissioner of Police, Law & Order/Traffic, Ghaziabad, District Ghaziabad enclosed as ***Annexure-1***, to the Writ Petition.
>
> b) Issue, a writ, order or direction, in the nature of **Mandamus**, Directing the Respondent No. 3, to consider the request of the Petitioner for Revocation of suspension order in the light of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **Ajay Kumar Chaudhary Vs. Union of India and others, reported in (2015) 7 SCC Page 291, Union of India Vs. Rajeev Kumar (2003) 6, SCC Page 516, & Ram Shankar Vs. State of U.P. and others reported in 2010, (3) ADJ 316** and to pass appropriate order on the application of the petitioner for revocation of suspension order with all consequential benefits, within a stipulated time."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is seeking revocation of suspension order in the light of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **Ajay Kumar Chaudhary Vs. Union of India and others, reported in (2015) 7 SCC Page 291, Union of India Vs. Rajeev Kumar (2003) 6, SCC Page 516, & Ram Shankar Vs. State of U.P. and others reported in 2010, (3) ADJ 316**. In this regard, the

petitioner has moved a representation/application which is pending consideration.

4. Learned Standing Counsel has submitted that no useful purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending and it would be appropriate to direct the respondent no. 3 to decide the representation/application of the petitioner within a time frame as fixed by this Court.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition stands **disposed of** finally with a direction to respondent no. 3 to consider and decide the aforementioned representation/application of the petitioner, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of **twelve weeks** from the date of production of a certified copy of this order after giving opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned provided that there is no other legal impediment.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

November 5, 2026
Prashant D.

Digitally signed by :-
PRASHANT DWIVEDI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad