2026:AHC:231071

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 18475 of 2026

Nishant Bhardwaj

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Of India And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Ritesh Kumar, Shashi Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	A.S.G.I., C.S.C., Siddharth Singhal

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Shri Shiv Kumar Pal, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India, for respondent no. 1, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents and perused the record.

2. This petition has been filed for the following relief:

> *"I. Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned Government Order dated 30.07.2021 only to the extent to which it has excluded all locomotor disability from the zone of consideration for granting benefit of Reservation for the post of Lekhpal.*
>
> *II. To issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to apply the provisions of the Government order dated 30.09.2024 regarding inclusion of all locomotor disability which was excluded by the previous Government order dated 30.07.2021.*
>
> *III. To issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No. 4 to complete the selection process by offering appointment to the petitioner for the post of Lekhpal, in the light of the Government Order dated 30.09.2024 and the judgment and order dated 01.09.2026 passed by this Hon'ble Court in Writ-A No. 18445 of 2023 (Neetu Kumar and 21 Others Vs. Union of India and 4 Others)."*

3. Contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that candidature of the petitioner has been rejected for the post of Lekhpal as the petitioner was having locomotor disability which is not covered under the Government

Order dated 30.07.2021. It has been further submitted that later on by another Government Order dated 30.09.2024, locomotor disability has been covered and this question has been considered by the Division Bench of this Court in case of **Neetu Kumar and 21 others v. Union of India and 4 others, passed in Writ A No. 18445 of 2023**, decided on 01.09.2026, wherein, this Court has directed as follows:

> *"In the circumstances we direct that in event vacant posts exist to fulfill 1% of aggregate 8085 posts then, those of petitioners who were found eligible are to be given appointment by way of reasonable accommodation. Otherwise, supernumerary posts are to be created for such accommodation. Petitioners will communicate certified copy of this order to respondent no. 4, who we are told is the appointing authority. There be compliance within six weeks thereafter."*

4. Shri Siddharth Singhal, learned counsel for the respondent though opposed the writ petition but could not deny that this Court in case of **Neetu Kumar and others** (Supra) has directed for consideration and the said order has yet not been challenged before the Supreme Court.

5. In view of these facts, this writ petition stands **disposed of** in terms of judgment passed in case of **Neetu Kumar and others** (Supra) and the respondents are directed to act accordingly.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

November 5, 2026
Ved Prakash

Digitally signed by :-
VED PRAKASH SHARMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad