2026:AHC:227098

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 18169 of 2026

Abhay Raj Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Himanshu Bansal, Shivam Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. This petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-

"(i) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding respondent nos. 1 and 2 to place the case of the petitioner before the departmental Screening Committee constituted under para 6 of Government Order No. वे०आ०-2-773/दस-62 (एम)/2008 *dated 05.11.2014, and to decide the grant of the third financial upgradation in terms of para 1(14) thereof, by a reasoned and speaking order, within a period to be fixed by this Hon'ble Court;*

(ii) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to grant the third financial upgradation under the Assured Career Progression Scheme to the petitioner w.e.f. 11.02.2020, or from such other date as it fell due, and to re-fix his pay accordingly up to the date of his retirement on 30.11.2023.

(iii) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to release the annual increment of the petitioner due and to pay the arrears thereof;

(iv) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to pay the arrears of salary flowing from prayers (ii) and (iii), and to revise the pension, commuted value of pension, family pension, gratuity and leave encashment of the petitioner on the basis of the re-fixed pay, issue a revised Pension Payment Order, and pay the resulting arrears, within a period to be fixed by this Hon'ble Court;

(v) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to pay interest at such rate as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit on the arrears payable under the above prayers, from the respective due dates till the date of

actual payment;"

2. Contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner, who retired from service in 2023, has already moved a representation in this regard on 06.09.2025 which is pending consideration.

3. Learned Standing Counsel has submitted that as petitioner has already represented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending and it would be appropriate that respondent be directed to consider the grievance of the petitioner in accordance with law.

4. In view of these facts, concerned respondent is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 06.09.2025 of the petitioner in accordance with law, after providing opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties, expeditiously, preferably within a period of twelve weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

5. It is made clear that this court has not examined the matter on merits and the order shall be passed strictly in accordance with law.

6. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

November 2, 2026
Nitika Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
NITIKA SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad