HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 44005 of 2026

Yadu Sugar Limited

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Principal Secretary, Sugar Industries & Cane Development And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Abhijit Chatterji, Diptiman Singh, Jahnavi Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Ravindra Singh

Court No. - 5

HON'BLE PRAKASH PADIA, J.
HON'BLE INDRAJEET SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard Shri Diptiman Singh, Advocate along with Shri Abhijit Chatterji, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondent no. 1 & 2 and, Shri Ravindra Singh, learned counsel for respondent no.3, Shri Tarun Agrawal, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Prakhar Saran Srivastava, learned counsel for Intervenor-DSIL and Shri Shakti Swaroop Nigam, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Karshit Nigam, learned counsel for Dhaupur Sugar Mill for intervention.

2. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner confined his prayer and argued that against the order dated 25.10.2026 statutory appeal as provided under Section 15 of the U.P. Sugarcane (Regulation of Supply and Purchase) Act, 1953, has already been submitted by petitioner before the respondent no.1, namely, Principal Secretary, Sugar Industries & Cane Development, Lucknow, U.P., copy of which is appended as Annexure No. 26 of the writ petition. He further prayed that a direction may be issued to respondent no.1 to decide the aforesaid appeal expeditiously, in accordance with law.

3. The aforesaid prayer has not been opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents.

4. In this view of the matter and with the consent of counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is **disposed of** with the direction to respondent no.1 to decide the aforesaid appeal strictly in accordance with law after providing

an opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned positively by **12.11.2026** by means of a reasoned order.

5. Since the respondent no.2 and 3 have already been represented before this Court, they are permitted to appear and advance their arguments before the respondent no.1 at the time of hearing and no fresh notices are required to be issued by the respondent no.1. They are permitted to take cognizance of the filing of the appeal by the petitioner and proceed to hear the matter after affording the opportunity of hearing to respondent nos. 2 and 3.

6. We make it clear that there should not be any complaint to the Court that the appeal has not been decided within the aforesaid period.

7. Registrar (Compliance) is directed to communicate a copy of this order to respondent no.1 through Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lucknow during course of the day.

October 29, 2026
Mohit

(Indrajeet Shukla,J.) (Prakash Padia,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
MOHIT KUMAR KUSHWAHA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad