2026:AHC:228113

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 48070 of 2026

Puneet Yadav @ Monu @ Puneet Kumar And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sunil Choudhary
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	Ashok Kumar, G.A., Mohammad Adnan, Vipul Singhal

---

Court No. - 76

HON'BLE SAURABH SRIVASTAVA, J.

1. On the request of learned counsel for applicants, this matter is being taken up out of turn considering the urgency.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

3. The present application has been filed to quash the charge-sheet dated 18.07.2024 and cognizance/summoning order dated 27.01.2025 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Kalpi, Jalaun and the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No.50 of 2025 (State vs. Puneet Yadav and Others) arising out of Case Crime No.91 of 2024, P.S. Aata, District- Jalaun.

4. At the very outset, learned counsel for applicants submitted that compromise has already been preferred and the same has also been verified by learned Judicial Magistrate, Kalpi, Jalaun on dated 24.09.2026, which has been appended as Annexure No.6 of the instant application, this fact has also been ascertained and seconded by learned counsel for the opposite parties.

5. The parties have amicably settled their dispute and fact of compromise has been confirmed and admitted by learned counsel for the opposite parties and jointly submitted that there would be no harm and error and would be in the interest of justice that the proceedings may be quashed in light of the compromise.

6. A three-Judge Bench of the Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab & another, (2012) 10 SCC 303**, has observed in para 58 of the said judgment that where the High Court quashes a criminal proceeding having

regard to the fact that the dispute between the offender and the victim has been settled although the offences are not compoundable, it does so as in its opinion, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is resorted; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor.

7. In the case of **Madhavrao Jiwajirao Scindia v. Sambhajirao Chandraojirao Angre, [(1988) 1 SCC 692]**, Hon'ble the Apex Court has also observed that where matters are also of civil nature i.e. matrimonial, family disputes, etc. the Court may consider "special facts", "special feature" and quash the criminal proceeding to encourage genuine settlement of disputes between the parties.

8. In the case of **H.N. Pandakumar Vs. State of Karnataka [2025 INSC 37]**, Hon'ble the Apex Court has also observed that if the offence is non-compoundable which is having no serious impact on society then there would be no harm and error if the proceedings may be quashed in light of the compromise entered into between the parties.

9. Keeping in mind the position of law and facts, circumstances of the case, the charge-sheet dated 18.07.2024 and cognizance/summoning order dated 27.01.2025 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate, Kalpi, Jalaun and the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No.50 of 2025 (State vs. Puneet Yadav and Others) arising out of Case Crime No.91 of 2024, P.S. Aata, District- Jalaun, are hereby **quashed**, in respect of applicant(s) herein.

10. Accordingly, the present application stands **allowed**.

11. This order is being passed by this Court after hearing the contesting parties. If at all, informant/opposite party no.2 feels that he/she has been duped or betrayed, then in that event, he/she may file recall application explaining the reasons for filing the said application.

November 2, 2026
Saif

(Saurabh Srivastava,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
SHAIKH SAIF ABDIN
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad