HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 38562 of 2026

Sumit @ Jony

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Ashish Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 66

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. Heard learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. This is a second bail application filed on behalf of the applicant with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No. 39 of 2026, under Sections 85, 115(2), 80 of B.N.S., and Section 3/4 D.P. Act, Police Station -Babugarh, District Hapur, during the pendency of the trial. The first bail application of the applicant being Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 22593 of 2026 rejected on 06.07.2026.

3. From the perusal of the record, it is clear that the applicant is the husband of the deceased, who committed suicide by hanging after a petty dispute over household issues. The record also reveals that during the investigation, the brother of the deceased (Munendra), sister of the deceased (Antesh Chaudhary), as well as Kunwarjeet Singh and Satendra Singh, supported the prosecution story regarding harassment of the deceased for non-fulfilment of the demand of dowry. It is also clear from the record that during the trial, Munendra, Antesh Chaudhary, Kunwarjeet Singh and Satendra Singh were examined as P.W.-1 to P.W.-4, respectively, and they did not support the prosecution story. They clearly admitted that the applicant never harassed the deceased for non-fulfilment of the demand of dowry and the deceased committed suicide over petty household issues. Therefore, the trial court also declared them hostile. It is further revealed from the record that the statements of the mother and father of the applicant were also recorded during the investigation as

witnesses, and they also stated in their statements that the deceased and the applicant used to quarrel over petty household issues and there was no issue regarding non-fulfilment of the demand of dowry. It is also clear from the record that the applicant has no criminal history and has been languishing in jail since 06.02.2026. In case he is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and will cooperate in the trial proceedings.

4. Per contra, learned A.G.A. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid facts. However, learned A.G.A. informed the Court that the charge-sheet has been filed in the present case.

5. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the statements of P.W.-1 to P.W.-4 recorded during the trial, as well as the statements of the mother and father of the applicant recorded during the investigation, and keeping in view the nature of the offence, evidence and complicity of the accused, and taking into account overcrowded jails and the heavy pendency of criminal cases before the trial courts, as well as considering the mandate of the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **Kapil Wadhawan vs. Central Bureau of Investigation, reported in 2025 SCC OnLine SC 3038**, as well as the guidelines of this Court in the case of **Maya Tiwari Vs. State of U.P., 2024 SCC OnLine All 6765**, regarding the grant of bail, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail. Accordingly, the present application is **allowed**.

6. Let the applicant- **Sumit @ Jony,** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

i. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

ii. The applicant shall cooperate in the trial/investigation sincerely without seeking any adjournment.

iii. The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

iv. The applicant shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed by him.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

8. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted.

9. Verification of mobile number and Aadhaar card of the applicant as well as sureties should be verified by the court concerned.

10. It is made clear that the applicant shall be released on the basis of computer generated copy of this order, downloaded from the official website of High Court Allahabad and verified by the concerned counsel with the undertaking that the certified copy will be filed within 15 days.

11. It is further directed that the trial court shall send the release order to the concerned jail through Bail Order Management System (BOMS) to ensure early release of the applicant.

12. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the applicant through **Jail Superintendent, Ghaziabad** via e-mail or on e-prison portal and Trial Court via e-mode within 24 hours in compliance of the order of the Apex Court in the case of **Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail, In Re: Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021 decided on 31.01.2023** reported in **(2024) 10 SCC 685** as well as **Pila Pahan@Peela Pahan and others Vs. State of Jharkhand and another, in Writ Petition (Criminal) No. 169 of 2025,** decided on **29.05.2026.**

13. Application, if any, stands **disposed of**.

(Arun Kumar Singh Deshwal,J.)

October 29, 2026
SA

Digitally signed by :-
SALMAN ALI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad