HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 44431 of 2026

Karan Pal And 5 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Rakesh Kumar Gupta
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Pranjal Mehrotra

Court No. - 29

HON'BLE SIDDHARTHA VARMA, J.
HON'BLE KUNAL RAVI SINGH, J.

1. Heard Sri Manvendra Nath Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners, learned standing counsel for the State respondent and Sri Pranjal Mehrotra, learned counsel for respondents no.2 & 3.

2. The present writ petition is preferred for quashing of the order dated 06.07.2026 passed by respondent - Yamuna Expressway Industrial Development Authority, Greater Noida, District- Gautam Buddh Nagar, through its Special Executive Officer against the petitioner in Case No. 444 of 2026, under Section 10 of the Uttar Pradesh Industrial Area Development Act 1976 for demolition of the house of the petitioners situated at plot no.597 (total area 2.9490 hectare) in village Syaraul, Tehsil- Khair, District- Aligarh.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents raises an objection that against the order of demolition the petitioners have got an alternative remedy to file an application/appeal before the Appellate Authority, provided under regulation 4(ii) of the Yamuna Expressway Authority Demolition Regulations, approved by the Yamuna Expressway and Industrial Development Authority (YEIDA) in its Board meeting dated 19.02.2016.

4. Learned counsel for contesting respondent has placed reliance upon order dated 07.11.2023 passed in Writ C No. 38370 of 2023 (Vijay Kumar and Another vs. State of U.P. and 2 Others) whereby a Co-

ordinate Bench had permitted the petitioners to file an appeal under Regulation 4(ii) of the Yamuna Expressway Authority Demolition Regulations, approved by the Yamuna Expressway and Industrial Development Authority (YEIDA) in its Board meeting dated 19.02.2016.

5. Confronted with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners states that leave may be accorded to the petitioners to avail the alternative remedy available to them.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances we are not inclined to keep the matter pending for consideration. It is therefore provided that in case the petitioners move an application/appeal before the Appellate Authority within 15 days' time from today alongwith the application for interim relief, we hope and trust that the same would be decided in accordance with law expeditiously.

7. Till disposal of the said application, the competent authority is restrained from taking any coercive action against the petitioners.

8. With the above observations, the writ petition is **disposed of**.

(Kunal Ravi Singh,J.) (Siddhartha Varma,J.)

November 2, 2026
NLY

Digitally signed by :-
NAND LAL YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad