2026:AHC:227174

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 11398 of 2026

Sonu Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Bhuvnesh Kumar Singh, Jitendra Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard Learned counsel for the applicant; and Learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No. 302 of 2026, under Sections 109(1), 333, 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 352 and 351(3) of the B.N.S., 2023, Police Station – Seohara, District Bijnor, with a prayer to enlarge him on anticipatory bail, as the applicant apprehends his arrest in the aforesaid case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The applicant was not named in the FIR. He has nothing to do with the alleged offence in spite of that the police are trying to arrest the applicant. A total of seven persons along with three unknown persons have been attributed the role of causing injuries to the injured persons, who are two in numbers. As per the injury report of the injured Nargis, she sustained an abraded contusion on right neck; second injury was complaint of pain in whole body. The other injured Gulfisha sustained as many as three injuries on her person. All the injuries allegedly sustained by the injured persons are simple in nature. As per prosecution version, the co-accused Vipin Sisodia opened fire upon the complainant party, however, no one sustained firearm injury. It is not alleged by the witnesses that the applicant caused any specific injury to the injured persons. Co-accused Azam who was named in the FIR has been

granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide the order dated 09.10.2026 passed in NABAIL No.9964 of 2026. The applicant has no criminal criminal antecedents. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that the applicant undertakes to cooperate during investigation and trial and shall appear as and when required by the Investigating Agency or the Court concerned.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, however, has been unable to point out any criminal history of the applicant.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the parties.

6. The applicant has not been named in the FIR. Although seven persons, along with three unknown persons, have allegedly been assigned the role of causing injuries to the two injured persons, all the injuries sustained by them are simple in nature. Further, though there is an allegation of firing by co-accused Vipin Sisodia, no one has sustained any firearm injury. There is no specific allegation attributing any particular injury to the applicant. Co-accused Azam, who was named in the FIR, has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. The applicant has no criminal antecedents.

7. Taking into consideration the entire facts and circumstances of the case, as discussed above, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the applicant on anticipatory bail.

8. The anticipatory bail application of the applicant is **allowed**.

9. In the event of the arrest of the applicant- **Sonu Kumar,** involved in the aforesaid case crime, he shall be released on anticipatory bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned, with the following conditions:-

i. The applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts

to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. The applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. The applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

November 2, 2026
Kushal

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
KUSHAL AGRAWAL
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad