2026:AHC:227211

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 18126 of 2026

Vishnu Kumar Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Akash Kishan, Ashok Kumar Gupta
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Akhilesh Kumar, C.S.C.

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record on Board.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents, particularly Respondent Nos. 2 and 3, to grant pensionary benefits to the petitioner under the Old Pension Scheme by counting his continuous ad-hoc services from the date of his initial appointment (i.e., 01.04.1989), along with all consequential arrears and interest.*
>
> *ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding respondent nos. 2 and 3 to consider and decide the representation dated 19.06.2026 submitted by the petitioner with respect to his claim for pensionary benefits, in light of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Prem Singh vs. State of U.P. and Others [(2019) 10 SCC 516], by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a stipulated period, as may be fixed by this Hon'ble Court."*

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed in the year 1989; however, his claim for benefits under the Old Pension Scheme has not yet been decided, despite repeated representations. He further submits that the claim of the petitioner may be considered in the light of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Prem Singh vs. State of U.P. And Others reported in **(2019) 10 SCC 516**.

4. Shri Akhilesh Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents no.2 to 4 has contended that grievance of the petitioner regarding non-consideration of the claim of the petitioner under the Old Pension Scheme can be more appropriately redressed by the Additional Housing Commissioner & Secretary, U.P. Avas Evam Vikas Parishad, Lucknow (respondent no. 3) and that he has no objection if a direction

is issued for expeditious disposal of a fresh representation, to be moved by the present petitioner, within such stipulated period, as may be directed by this Court.

5. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Accordingly, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with liberty to the present petitioner to move a fresh representation with respect to his grievances, as raised in this petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the instant writ petition before the respondent no.3, who, in turn, shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of production of the same.

6. With the aforesaid directions, instant writ petition stands finally **disposed of.**

November 2, 2026
VR

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VIBHA RATAN
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad