2026:AHC:227236

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 18160 of 2026

Seema Devi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Mukul Yadav, Rajesh Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Gaurav Bishan

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 2 submitted before the Court today a copy of the instructions, which is taken on record.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 30.09.2026, whereby he has been placed under suspension.

3. The petitioner has attempted to raise disputed questions of fact, while assailing the impugned suspension order dated 30.09.2026, which can more appropriately be decided by the competent authority.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents No. 2, on the basis of the instructions, submits that despite the memorandum of charges dated 09.10.2026 having been served upon the petitioner, he has not submitted the reply till date.

5. In view of the facts and circumstances of the present case, as noticed herein above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with the following directions:

(i) The petitioner shall file his detailed reply/defence to the charge sheet dated 09.10.2026 served upon him within a period of **three weeks** from today.

(ii) Upon receipt of the reply/defence submitted by the petitioner, the disciplinary authority shall make every endeavour to conclude the disciplinary proceedings within a period of **four months** thereafter, after affording the petitioner due and proper opportunity of hearing.

(iii) The petitioner shall extend full co-operation in the disciplinary proceedings and shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment. In the event of any delay not attributed to the

petitioner, the disciplinary authority shall ensure that the proceedings are concluded within the aforesaid period.

(iv) It is made clear that, in case, the disciplinary proceedings are not concluded within the period, as mentioned above, the suspension order dated 30.09.2026 shall automatically stand revoked.

(v) It is further provided that, during the period of suspension, the petitioner shall be paid subsistence allowance and extended all other admissible benefits in accordance with the applicable rules and law, in the event the same are not already being paid or extended.

6. With the aforesaid directions and observations, present writ petition stands **disposed of**.

November 2, 2026
vinay

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINAY KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad