2026:AHC:226941

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 38857 of 2026

Vijay Bahadur Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Anil Kumar Verma, G.S.Chauhan
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 68

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised.

2. Heard Sri G.S.Chauhan, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri Yogesh Singh, learned counsel for the informant, as well as Sri R.P. Patel, learned State Law Officer and also perused the material placed on record.

3. Applicant seeks bail in **Case Crime No.** 148 of 2026, **under Sections** 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 351(2) of BNS, **Police Station** - Sigra, **District** - Varanasi, during the pendency of trial.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the applicant is alleged to have executed a forged will-deed in the name of his deceased mother to transfer the property in question beyond his legitimate share. It is urged that these allegations are per se false and that a purely civil dispute has been given a criminal colour by the informant. It is further submitted that a civil suit has already been instituted for cancellation of the said will-deed. Furthermore, the single criminal history assigned to the applicant dates back to the year 1992 and stands duly explained. The applicant has been in judicial custody since 01.08.2026.

5. *Per contra*, the learned State Law Officer, along with the learned counsel for the informant, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail, contending that the applicant had previously executed a will-deed for a part of the property in the year 2014 and subsequently got the questioned will-deed forged in the year 2023, and as such, he is not entitled to the benefit of bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, the nature of the offence, the

severity of the punishment, and also keeping in view the fact that the dispute is predominantly civil in nature, that the matter is already *sub-judice* before the competent civil court, and considering the period of incarceration already undergone by the applicant, this Court *prima facie* finds it a fit case to release the applicant on bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**. Let the applicant- **Vijay Bahadur Singh,** be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to verification of sureties, with the conditions that he shall not tamper with evidence or intimidate witnesses and shall appear before the trial court as required.

8. Breach of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail. The observations herein shall not affect the trial on merits.

November 2, 2026
Siddhant

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
SIDDHANT SAHU
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad