HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 17686 of 2026

Krishna Kumar Singh And 21 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Mohd. Yaseen
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Siddharth Singhal

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Standing Counsel and Shri Siddharth Singhal, learned counsel for respondent no. 4 and perused the record.

2. This petition has been filed for the following relief :-

> *"Issue a order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to accord consideration to the petitioners for appointment as Lekhpal in pursuance to advertisement no. 01-Examination/2022 extending the benefit of physical disability reservation covered Government Order dated 30.09.2024 to the petitioners within a period to be specified by this Hon'ble Court.*
>
> *Issue a order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to grant appointment to the petitioners as Lekhpal treating the petitioners as duly selected in pursuance to advertisement no. 01-Examiantion/2022 and covered by reservation quota for physically handicapped candidates."*

3. Contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that candidature of the petitioners has been rejected for the post of Lekhpal as the petitioners were having locomotor disability which is not covered under the Government Order dated 30.07.2021. It has been further submitted that later on by another Government Order dated 30.09.2024, locomotor disability has been covered and this question has been considered by the Division Bench of this Court in case of **Neetu Kumar and 21 others v. Union of India and 4 others, passed in Writ A No. 18445 of 2023, decided on 01.09.2026**, wherein, this Court has directed as follows:

> *"In the circumstances we direct that in event vacant posts exist to fulfill 1% of aggregate 8085 posts then, those of petitioners who were found eligible are to be given appointment by way of reasonable accommodation. Otherwise, supernumerary posts are to be created for such accommodation. Petitioners will communicate certified copy of this order to respondent no. 4, who we are told is the appointing authority. There be compliance within*

six weeks thereafter."

4. Shri Siddharth Singhal, learned counsel for the respondent though opposed the writ petition but could not deny that this Court in case of **Neetu Kumar and others (Supra)** has directed for consideration and the said order has yet not been challenged before the Supreme Court.

5. In view of these facts, this writ petition stands **disposed of** in terms of judgment passed in case of **Neetu Kumar and others (Supra)** and the respondents are directed to act accordingly.

October 24, 2026
Rishabh

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
RISHABH KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad