HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 17612 of 2026

Dr Anshu Mishra @ Anshu Mishra

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Rahul Pandey, Rang Nath Pandey
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Gagan Mehta

Court No. - 52

HON'BLE MRS. MANJU RANI CHAUHAN, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Gagan Mehta, learned counsel for the respondent nos. 2&3, and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondent.

2. This writ petition has been filed with a prayer to quash the impugned result of the written examination / list of shortlisted candidates for interview dated 12.10.2026 for the appointment to the post of Principal in aided degree colleges pursuant to Advertisement No. 02/2026.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that out of 99 questions, she has answered 78 correctly, she has not attempted 21 questions and has secured 213 marks, however, her name does not find place in the list of shortlisted candidates called for interview. The petitioner has moved a representation before the authorities to know the reasons as to why she has not been called for the same.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 2 and 3, Mr. Gagan Mehta, placing emphasis on the instructions in the advertisement in question annexed at page 29 of the writ petition, as well as the instructions contained in the question paper booklet annexed at page 35 of the writ petition, submits that Instruction No. 9.7 of the advertisement in question and Instruction No. 4 of the question paper booklet are relevant for the controversy involved. The said instructions are reproduced hereinbelow: -

"9.7 ओ0एम0आर0 शीट पर अभ्यर्थी अपना अनुक्रमांक, विषय का सही कोड, प्रश्न पुस्तिका संख्या व सीरीज साफ-साफ लिखें, अन्यथा ओ0एम0आर0 शीट का मूल्यांकन नहीं किया जाएगा और इसकी जिम्मेदारी स्वयं अभ्यर्थी की होगी।"

"4. उत्तर-पत्रक (ओ0एम0आर0 शीट) पर अभ्यर्थी अपना अनुक्रमांक, विषय कोड, प्रश्न पुस्तिका संख्या,

केंद्र कोड तथा उत्तरित प्रश्नों की संख्या स्वच्छतापूर्वक लिखें, अन्यथा उत्तर-पत्रक का मूल्यांकन नहीं किया जाएगा और सम्पूर्ण जिम्मेदारी स्वयं अभ्यर्थी की होगी।"

5. Learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submits that the petitioner has not filled the Question Booklet Number in the OMR Sheet correctly, therefore her answer sheet has not been evaluated. As per the aforesaid instructions placed at pages 29 and 35, no such relief can be granted to the petitioner. It is further submitted that in case the Roll Number, subject, subject code, Question Booklet number, or any other requisite particulars are not filled correctly, the candidature of the petitioner cannot be considered. Learned counsel has also placed reliance on the judgment rendered in a similar matter in Writ-A No. 9774 of 2026 (Rajat Yadav vs. State of U.P. and 2 Others). Therefore, no interference is called for.

6. In view of the above, the writ petition lacks merit and is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

October 24, 2026
Jitendra/-

(Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
JITENDRA KUMAR YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad