HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 43361 of 2026

Pawan Kumar Jindal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Manu Saxena
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Kaushalendra Nath Singh

Court No. - 29

HON'BLE SIDDHARTHA VARMA, J.
HON'BLE VIVEK SARAN, J.

1. This writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:

> *"A. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari, calling for records concerning the impugned demolition notices dated 13.8.2026 (Annexure-1) issued by respondent nos.2 and 3, and to quash the same.*
>
> *B. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus, commanding the respondents to decide the objection of the petitioner dated 7.9.2026 (Annexure-5) within time specified by this Hon'ble Court.*
>
> *C. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus restraining the respondents neither to demolish the constructions of the petitioner nor to interfere in the peaceful possession of the petitioner over the property in dispute Khasra Nos.577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 593, 594, 595, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 658, 659, 660, 661 and 596 situated in Village Salarpur Khadar, Pargana and Tehsil Dadri, District Gautam Budh Nagar, in any manner whatsoever."*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that when a notice dated 13.08.2026 was received by the petitioner then the petitioner replied to the same on 07.09.2026. Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that till date no decision has been taken on the said reply and the threats of demolition are being made by the respondent.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the NOIDA Authority states that the reply submitted by the petitioner would be considered and a final order would be passed within a period of two weeks.

4. We do find substance in the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the NOIDA Authority.

5. Without going into the merits of the case, we direct that, since the petitioner has already submitted his reply, the same shall be considered in accordance with law and a final order shall, thereafter, be passed within a period of three weeks. Needless to mention, if any fresh objection is filed and no orders have already been passed till date on the objection filed earlier, then the fresh objection would also be considered.

6. With these observations, the writ petition stands **disposed of.**

7. For a period of three weeks or till the decision is taken place, whichever is earlier, status quo as of today shall be maintained.

(Vivek Saran,J.) (Siddhartha Varma,J.)

October 24, 2026
SP/

Digitally signed by :-
PRAVEEN KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad