2026:AHC:227280-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 26408 of 2026

Ram Kishun And 3 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Sudhir Kumar Dwivedi
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 48

HON'BLE CHANDRA DHARI SINGH, J.
HON'BLE HARVIR SINGH, J.

1. The present writ petition has been preferred with the following main reliefs:-

"(i) Issue a writ order or direction in nature of quashing the impugned first information report dated 19.09.2026 registered as Case Crime No. 0272 of 2026 Under Section 115(2), 352, 351(3), B.N.S., Police Station Paniyra District Mahrajganj, (Annexure No. 1) to this writ petition.

(ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents not to harass the petitioner in pursuance of First Information Report dated 19.09.2026 registered as Case Crime No. 0272 of 2026 Under Section 115(2), 352, 351(3), B.N.S., Police Station Paniyra District Mahrajganj."

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner, after some length of arguments on merits, submitted that since the maximum punishment prescribed for the offences alleged in the impugned F.I.R. is up to seven years, he confines his prayer to an innocuous relief that the police authorities may be directed to comply with the mandatory procedure as laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of of ***Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar*** reported in ***(2014) 8 SCC 273*** before effecting the arrest of the petitioners.

3. Per contra, learned A.G.A. appearing on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the petition on merits but he has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, learned A.G.A. appearing on behalf of the State and perused the record.

5. Considering the fact that the offences as levelled in the F.I.R. are punishable with imprisonment up to seven years only, coupled with the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and no objection expressed by learned A.G.A., thereto, this Court is inclined to allow the said innocuous prayer.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the authorities concerned to ensure the procedure of arrest as per the guidelines of the Supreme Court enshrined in the case of **Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar** reported in **(2014) 8 SCC 273** and the mandate of Section 35(3) of B.N.S.S.

(Harvir Singh,J.) (Chandra Dhari Singh,J.)

November 2, 2026
Pravin

Digitally signed by :-
PRAVIN VERMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad