HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 17882 of 2026

Smt. Khushnuma Bano

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 6 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Arvind Kumar, Vimal Chandra Mishra
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Shri Chandra

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

> *"a) issued a writ or order in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the grievance of the petitioner for compassionate appointment being wife of her deceased husband as first right and entitled as per amendment Rule XII of dying in harness Rules 1974 and issue appointment letter in favour of the petitioner within stipulated period which should be fixed by this Hon'ble High Court;*
>
> *b) Issue any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem to be fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's husband died in harness on 06.10.2023 while working as a Class IV employee. Despite repeated representations moved by the petitioner seeking appointment on compassionate grounds, the respondents have not paid any heed to her grievance.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the Bridge Corporation Ltd. (respondent nos. 2 to 6) submits that the sister of the deceased has also moved an application seeking appointment on compassionate grounds. He further submits that the grievance of the present petitioner regarding appointment on compassionate grounds, as mentioned in the instant writ petition, can be more appropriately redressed by the Deputy Manager (Karmik-3) (respondent no. 6), and that he has no objection if a direction is issued for expeditious disposal of a fresh representation, to be moved by the present

petitioner, within such stipulated period, as may be directed by this Court.

5. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Accordingly, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with liberty to the present petitioner to move a fresh representation before the Deputy Manager (Karmik-3) (respondent no. 6) ventilating her grievances, as raised in this petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the instant writ petition. Upon receipt of the said representation, respondent no. 6 shall consider and decide the same by means of a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **four** months from the date of receipt of the said representation.

6. With the aforesaid directions and observations, present writ petition stands **disposed of.**

October 28, 2026
vkg

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINOD KUMAR GOSWAMI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad