HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 17680 of 2026

Dinesh Kumar Dubey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Of India And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Anurag Shukla, Prabhat Pandey
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	A.S.G.I., Tanisha Jahangir Monir

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard Sri Anurag Shukla, learned counsel for the petitioner; Ms. Tanisha Jahangir Monir, learned counsel appearing for the contesting respondents and learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondents and perused the record.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

> *") issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondent no. 3 to ensure the payment/encashment of the petitioner's aforesaid fixed deposits, along with the interest accrued thereon, which are pending for payment /encashment before the respondent no. 4, within such period as may be fixed by this Hon'ble Court.*
>
> *to b) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondent no.4 make payment/encashment of the petitioner's 29 fixed deposits, together with the interest accrued thereon, which are pending for payment /encashment before the respondent no.4, within such period as may graciously be fixed by this Hon'ble Court.*
>
> *c) issue any other suitable writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case and award cost of the writ petition."*

3. Ms. Tanisha Jahangir Monir, learned counsel appearing for the contesting

respondents submits that grievance of the present petitioner as raised in the instant writ petition, can be more appropriately redressed by the General Manager (respondent no.3) and that she has no objection if a direction is issued for expeditious disposal of the fresh representation, to be moved by the present petitioner, within such stipulated period, as may be directed by this Court.

4. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the instant writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with liberty to the present petitioner to move a fresh representation before the General Manager (respondent no. 3) ventilating his grievances, as raised in this petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the instant writ petition, who, in turn, shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **three months** from the date of production of the same, if there is no other legal impediment.

5. With the aforesaid directions and observations, present writ petition stands **disposed of.**

October 24, 2026
Neetu

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
NEETU SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad