HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 17546 of 2026

Surendra Kumar Srivastava

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Mahima Maurya Kushwaha, Mukesh Kumar Kushwaha
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Ganesh Datt Mishra

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard Sri Kushagra Kushwaha, learned counsel holding brief for Mrs. Mahima Maurya Kushwaha, learned counsel for the petitioner; learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondents no. 1 and 2, and Sri G.D. Mishra, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

> *"I. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to pay the petitioner's balance amount of gratuity by calculating the same in accordance with the provisions of the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, taking the enhanced statutory ceiling of Rs.20,00,000/- into consideration, along with statutory interest for the delayed payment.*
>
> *II. Issue any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."*

3. Sri G.D. Mishra, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 3 and 4 has contended that the grievance of the present petitioner with regard to non-payment of the remaining amount of gratuity as raised in the instant writ petition, can be more appropriately redressed by the Managing Director (respondent no.4) and that he has no objection if a direction is issued for expeditious disposal of the fresh representation, to be moved by the present petitioner, within such stipulated period, as may be directed by this Court.

4. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Accordingly, this Court deems it appropriate to

finally dispose of the present writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with liberty to the present petitioner to move a fresh representation before the Managing Director (respondent no.4), ventilating his grievances, as raised in this petition, within a period of **three weeks** from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the instant writ petition, who, in turn, shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **three months** from the date of production of the same, if there is no other legal impediment.

5. With the aforesaid directions and observations, present writ petition stands **disposed of.**

October 23, 2026
vkg

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINOD KUMAR GOSWAMI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad