HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 17648 of 2026

Smt Rajkumari

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Managing Director And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Shashank Singhal, Subodh Kumar Rai
Counsel for Respondent(s) : Abhishek Tiwari, Siddharth Singhal, Sunil Kumar Tiwari, Suresh Singh

---

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; Sri Sunil Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 4 and Sri A.P. Singhal, learned counsel appearing for the respondent nos. 2 and 3.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

"(i). to issue a writ, order or writ of mandamus commanding and directing the Respondents to pay the post-retiral dues of the petitioner's late husband i.e. Gratuity, Leave Encashment, D.A. Arrears and claim of Group Insurance Scheme (G.I.S.) to the petitioner, along with interest accrued thereon, within a stipulated period as fixed by the Hon'ble Court.

(ii). to issue a writ, order or writ of mandamus commanding and directing the Respondents to pay interest at the rate of 8% per annum on the delayed payment of the aforesaid withheld funds of the petitioner.

(iii). to pass such other and further writ, order, direction, as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner's husband died in harness on 11.12.2023 while serving as a Sweeper. Despite repeated representations moved on her behalf, the gratuity, leave encashment and other due admissible to the petitioner's husband have not been released.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that grievance of the present

petitioner as raised in the instant writ petition can be more appropriately redressed by the Chief Accounts Officer (respondent No. 2), and that they have no objection, if a direction is issued for expeditious disposal of a fresh representation to be moved by the petitioner within such stipulated period as may be directed by this Court.

5. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, with liberty to the present petitioner to move a fresh representation before the Chief Accounts Officer (respondent No. 2) ventilating his grievances, as raised in this petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the instant writ petition, who, in turn, shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **three months** from the date of production of the same, if there is no other legal impediment.

6. With the aforesaid directions and observations, present writ petition stands **disposed of.**

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

October 24, 2026
Neetu

Digitally signed by :-
NEETU SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad