HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 37472 of 2026

Savej And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Ram Murti Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Rohan Gupta, learned counsel holding brief appeared for accused applicants and learned A.G.A. for State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicants/accused-**Savej and Islam** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 32 of 2026, under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 340(2) BNS, P.S.- Cyber Crime, District-Muzaffarnagar, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the FIR has been lodged by the police against the accused/applicants, father and son who practiced Ayurvedic Medicines. It is the allegation that the accused persons used to advertise through social media app for the sale of medicines. There was some complaints on the mobile number pertaining to the accused persons, wherein the payment has been made for the purchase of medicines, which has not reached the destination. Further submits that the complaints are from the year 2022 to 2026. There are many complaints, which have been withdrawn by the persons who purchased the medicines. Further submits that the applicant has never duped any persons but it is only the advertisement, which has attracted the payments for the purchase of medicines. The applicant no.1 has no criminal history and applicant no.2 has one case criminal history, wherein he is on notice under Section 35(3) BNSS. The applicants are in jail since 07.09.2026. They are cooperating in investigation, which is continuing. They seek to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering prima faice allegation against the accused is of selling medicines through social media app and some of the customers could not get their medicines, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 28, 2026
Abhishek Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK RANJAN SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad