**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 37513 of 2026**

Ajay

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

---

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Counsel for Applicant(s) | : | Ajay Kumar Pandey, Sheshadri Trivedi, Sr. Advocate |
| Counsel for Opposite Party(s) | : | G.A. |

---

**Court No. - 69**

**HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.**

1. Heard Sri Sheshadri Trivedi, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant/ accused- **Ajay** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 236 of 2024, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 384, 504, 406, 380 IPC, P.S.- Pallavpuram, District- Meerut, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the false and frivolous FIR has been lodged by the informant against the accused/applicant, who are relatives as the accused/applicant is brother-in-law of informant. The FIR has got registered through an application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. moved at belated stage with the allegation that initially on 15.07.2021, the accused/applicant has extorted money from some person projecting himself as Sale Tax Officer as the informant was once the Sale Tax Officer at Dehradun. Further submits that the informant had alleged knowledge of this extortation on 20.02.2022 about the said extortion. Further allegation is of 05.08.2023 that accused/applicant has extorted money from the wife of informant that if Rs. 10 lakhs has not been made good, the accused/applicant will got removed from service. It is submitted that the allegation itself is fake because the informant already lost his job on 07.10.2022. Further allegation is that the accused/applicant has got manufactured PAN Card and Aadhar Card of the informant and got open a Bank Account, wherein

some salary of Govt. Teacher is credited. It is the contention of learned counsel that the allegations are baseless. The applicant has one case criminal history, which is of Uttarakhand, wherein he is on bail. The charge sheet is submitted**.** The informant has been removed from service as Sales Tax Officer. The FIR is highly belated. The accused applicant is languishing in jail since 10.09.2026. He seeks to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering that the accused/applicant and informant both are relative and there is marked inconsistencies levelled against the accused/applicant and the charge sheet is submitted, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

**(Avnish Saxena,J.)**

**October 28, 2026**
Abhishek Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
ABHISHEK RANJAN SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad