HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 17639 of 2026

Vijay Shankar Prasad

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 8 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	In Person
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Shivam Yadav

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard the petitioner in person; learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondent no.1; Sri Pranjal Mehrotra, learned counsel for respondent nos. 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8; Sri Abhishek Srivastava, learned counsel, for respondent no.2 and 9; and Sri Shivam Yadav, learned counsel for respondent no. 4.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

> *"(i) To, issue writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing/commanding the respondents to ensure for petitioner posted as Assistant Engineer of the direct recruitment year 2016 at 400kV Muzaffarnagar.*
>
> *(ii) To, issue writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing/commanding to the respondents to decide the application/letter no 157 dated 26.09.2026 & 28.09.2026 of the petitioner.*
>
> *(iii) To issue any other order of direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."*

3. The petitioner is working as an Assistant Engineer with the U.P. Power Corporation Limited and is presently posted at the 400 KV Sub-Station Division, U.P. Power Transmission Corporation Limited, Muzaffarnagar. By means of the instant writ petition, the petitioner seeks retention at his present place of posting.

4. Sri Abhishek Srivastava, learned counsel for respondent no.2 and 9, submits that the petitioner was transferred from his present posting i.e. U.P. Power Transmission Corporation Limited to Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited, Lucknow, vide transfer order dated 13.07.2026. It is further submitted that pursuant to the aforesaid transfer order, the petitioner was relieved by order dated 16.07.2026. However, the petitioner has not joined at his transferred place of posting till date.

5. In reply, the petitioner, appearing in person, seeks to question the validity of the transfer order and the relieving order on the ground that the said orders were communicated to him through email and that hard copies thereof were not served upon him. He has further submitted that the relieving order does not bear the proper signature by the competent authority.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and submissions, this Court finds that the petitioner has not specifically challenged either the transfer order dated 13.07.2026 or the consequential relieving order dated 16.07.2026 in the instant writ petition. In the absence of any specific challenge to the aforesaid orders, the validity thereof cannot ordinarily be examined in these proceedings. The petitioner's objections regarding the mode of communication of the orders and the signature appearing on the relieving order, without an appropriate challenge to the orders themselves, do not furnish sufficient grounds for granting the relief as prayed for. It is further observed that mere non-service of hard copies of the orders would not, by itself, establish that the orders were not duly communicated to the petitioner, particularly when the petitioner acknowledges their communication through email.

7. In view of the foregoing discussion, no ground is made out for granting the relief sought in the instant writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

October 24, 2026
vkg

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINOD KUMAR GOSWAMI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad