HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 37605 of 2026

Om Prakash Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Gyan Prakash Ojha, Vinod Kumar Baranwal

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A., Nagina Singh Yadav

Court No. - 66

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. Heard Sri Vinod Kumar Baranwal, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Nagina Singh Yadav, learned counsel for the first informant, Sri DPS Chauhan, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The instant bail application has been filed with a prayer to release the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 86 of 2026, under Sections 85, 80(2) of B.N.S. 2023, and Section 3/4 D.P. Act, Police Station Nonahara, District Ghazipur, during the pendency of the trial.

3. Contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant is the father-in-law of the deceased, who died due to burn injuries about eight days after the date of the incident during treatment in the hospital. It is further submitted that the dying declaration of the deceased was also recorded on the next day after the date of the incident, wherein the deceased stated that she had received burn injuries from an LPG oven. Thereafter, her husband came, rescued her and took her to the hospital. It is further submitted that the dying declaration of the deceased reveals that there is no allegation of demand for dowry or harassment, except the quarrel with her mother-in-law over petty issues. Therefore, the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the investigation is almost at the completion stage. Therefore, the applicant is entitled to be released on bail. It is also submitted that the applicant is a retired Army personnel and is working as a Vice-Principal in a school and has no criminal history to his credit.

4. It is further submitted that charge sheet has been filed in the present case, therefore, there is no requirement of custodial interrogation. The applicant is a law abiding citizen and he is languishing in jail since 30.08.2026. In case, he is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

5. Per contra, learned counsel for the first informant as well as learned A.G.A. for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

6. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and taking into account the dying declaration of the deceased wherein she did not make any allegation against the applicant and keeping in view the nature of offence, evidence, complicity of the accused and taking into account overcrowded jails and heavy pendency of criminal cases before the trial courts as well as considering the mandate of the judgement of the Apex Court in the case of **Kapil Wadhawan vs Central Bureau of Investigation** reported in **2025 SCC OnLine SC 3038** as well as guideline of this Court in the case of **Maya Tiwari Vs. State of U.P., 2024 SCC Online All 6765** regarding grant of bail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail. Accordingly, the present application is **allowed**.

7. Let the applicant- **Om Prakash Yadav,** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

i. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

ii. The applicant shall cooperate in the trial/investigation sincerely without seeking any adjournment.

iii. The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission

of any crime after being released on bail.

iv. The applicant shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed by him.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

9. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted.

10. Verification of mobile number and Aadhaar card of the applicant as well as sureties should be verified by the court concerned.

11. It is made clear that the applicant shall be released on the basis of computer generated copy of this order, downloaded from the official website of High Court Allahabad and verified by the concerned counsel with the undertaking that the certified copy will be filed within 15 days.

12. It is further directed that the trial court shall send the release order to the concerned jail through Bail Order Management System (BOMS) to ensure early release of the applicant.

13. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the applicant through **Jail Superintendent, Ghazipur** via e-mail or on e-prison portal and Trial Court via e-mode within 24 hours in compliance of the order of the Apex Court in the case of **Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail, In Re: Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021 decided on 31.01.2023** reported in **(2024) 10 SCC 685** as well as **Pila Pahan@Peela Pahan and others Vs. State of Jharkhand and another, in Writ Petition (Criminal) No. 169 of 2025,** decided on **29.05.2026.**

14. Application, if any, stands **disposed of**.

(Arun Kumar Singh Deshwal,J.)

October 23, 2026
SA

Digitally signed by :-
SALMAN ALI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad