2026:AHC:226920

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 17210 of 2026

Sanju Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Amitya Singh, Ram Kesh
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Kushmondeya Shahi

Court No. - 52

HON'BLE MRS. MANJU RANI CHAUHAN, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State as well as Mr. K. Shahi, learned counsel for the respondent no.2-Uttar Pradesh, Education Selection Commission, Prayagraj.

2. The present writ petition has been filed with the following prayers:-

"A. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the Final Answer Key, in respect of question 96 for the subject Sanskrit in the examination of Trained Graduate Teacher held in pursuance of advertisement no. 01/2022 issued by respondent no. 2 (Annexure no. 1) to this writ petition.

B. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to declare the petitioner as selected after treating the answer of the petitioner as correct regarding disputed question.

C. Issue any order, suitable writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstance of the case.

D. Award cost to the present writ petition in favor of the petitioner."

3. It has been informed by Mr. K. Shahi, learned counsel for respondent no.2-Uttar Pradesh, Education Selection Commission, Prayagraj, that the Expert Committee was constituted pursuant to the order dated 14.08.2026 passed in Writ-A No. 12062 of 2026 (Bhuwaneshwar Prasad Pandey vs. State of U.P. and another). The Expert Committee has already submitted its report, and after revising the result, the notification was issued on 26.08.2026.

4. He further places reliance on a decision of this Court dated 24.08.2026 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of Lucknow in Writ-A No.7693 of 2026 (Praveen Kumar vs. State of U.P. and others), by way of which a similar claim was rejected; holding that the Court cannot further sit as an expert declaring questions to be incorrect or being out of syllabus; when now an Expert Committee has further given the report and declared the result.

5. The Court has also inquired from learned counsel for the petitioner as to whether he has been able to obtain the revised result. He has expressed his ignorance in this regard.

6. In view of the aforesaid, no relief can be granted at this stage, as the petitioner's result has already been revised on the basis of the report of the Expert Committee.

7. Accordingly, the writ petition is consigned to record.

(Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan,J.)

November 2, 2026
K.N.

Digitally signed by :-
KALP NATH SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad