HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 37379 of 2026

Lokendra Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Nitin Agarwal, Sushil Kumar Tewari
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Sushil Kumar Tewari, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant accused-**Lokendra Kumar** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 562 of 2024, under Sections 61(2), 316(5) of BNS, P.S.- Dhampur, District- Bijnor during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for accused applicant that the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in FIR dated 16.12.2024 lodged by the informant Smt. Poonam Chauhan, the Principal of one of the college named Saubhagyawati Bai Dani Women Degree College with the allegation against seven named persons including the accused applicant for taking salary from two sources. The accused applicant is a teacher. It is further contended that both the educational institutions, namely, Saubhagyawati Bai Dani Women Degree College and Radhelal Memorial Degree College, Afzalgarh, Bijnor managed by the same Committee of Management. There is some dispute between the Committee of Management, which led to the lodging of the FIR. It is further contended that the accused applicant being a teacher of Geography was invited to the college, Radhelal Memorial Degree College and some remuneration was given to him for taking classes. It is not a matter of dual salary taken by the accused applicant as the Management of both the college is same. The charge sheet has been submitted. The applicant has

no criminal history. The applicant is languishing in jail since 5.9.2026. The trial is still at the nascent stage./ He seeks enlargement of accused on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the bail application.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering that the accused applicant being a teacher, who got remuneration from the two college managed by the same Management, the accused applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 28, 2026
Krishna*

Digitally signed by :-
KRISHNA KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad