HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 10928 of 2026

Anuj Singh And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Abhishek Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, Learned counsel for the applicants, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicants in Case Crime No.370 of 2026, under Sections 109(1), 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 352, 351(3), 125, B.N.S., Police Station -Akrabad, District Aligarh, with a prayer to enlarge them on anticipatory bail as the applicants apprehend their arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have been falsely implicated in the present case. The FIR has been lodged against one Dinesh and some unknown persons. The applicants are not named in the FIR. The allegation is that some people were having an altercation, which the injured stopped to witness and out of the persons having an altercation, one Dinesh pulled out a country-made pistol and fired a shot which hit the injured on his back. Learned counsel for the applicants has contended that the injured in his statement has maintained this version and has not named the applicants nor has given any specific role to any other person except Dinesh. Learned counsel for the applicants has contended that prior to the present FIR, a Sub-Inspector of police lodged the FIR for the similar incident naming the applicants and other accused persons including Dinesh. Learned counsel for the applicants has further contended that for an incident of 25.07.2026, an FIR being Case Crime No.368 of 2026 was registered against the co-accused Dinesh and others including the applicants. The applicants have been implicated in the present case solely due to two first information reports already having been lodged for altercation between the same parties at the same police station. It is further submitted that the similarly situated co-accused X Minor has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order

dated 23.10.2026 in Criminal Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application U/S 482 BNSS No. 11062 of 2026. The applicant no.1 has a criminal history of two cases and applicant no.2 has criminal history of three cases, they are not previous convicts. All the cases relate to the dispute inter-se between the two groups for which Case Crime No. 368, 369 and 370 of 2026 have been registered at the same police station and all the cases are being investigated together. Applicant no.2 has a criminal history of one other case, other than the three cases registered with the same dispute he is not a previous convict.

4. The Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail but does not dispute the explanation given by the learned counsel for the applicant with respect to the criminal antecedents.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the parties and perused the material brought on the record.

6. Taking into account all the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it fit to enlarge the applicants on anticipatory bail.

8. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicants is **allowed**.

9. In the event of the arrest of the applicants **1. Anuj Singh and 2. Saurabh Chauhan**, they shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

i. the applicants shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. that the applicants shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. that the applicants shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. that the applicants shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for

deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 27, 2026
Fahad

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
FAHAD NIYAZ
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad