HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 10926 of 2026

Ayyaz Ali @ Raju

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Chandra Bir Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, Learned counsel for the applicant, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No. 290 of 2026, under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 352 BNS, Police Station- Parikshitgarh, District- Meerut, with a prayer to enlarge him on anticipatory bail as the applicant apprehends his arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely nominated in the present case. That applicant has been named as an accused, Raj; however, the applicant's name is Ayyaz Ali @ Raju. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that the applicant has not been given any specific role in the FIR. The injured has received four injuries, and none of the injuries have been found to be grievous in nature or dangerous to life. Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that out of the four injuries, only three injuries were visible, and the fourth injury that has been noted was his complaints of pain. Learned counsel further submitted that during medical examination, the accused was found to be intoxicated. The applicant has a criminal history of two other cases: in one case, he has been acquitted, and in another case, he has been granted bail by the concerned court.

4. The Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail but does not dispute the explanation given by the applicant with respect to his criminal antecedents.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the parties and perused the material brought on the record.

6. None of the injuries received by the injured have been found to be grievous in nature or dangerous to life. The informant, at the time of the medical examination, was found to be intoxicated. General allegations have been made against the applicant.

7. Taking into consideration the number of accused nominated in the FIR, the number and the nature of injuries sustained by the injured, and the overall facts and circumstances of the case, false implication of the applicant cannot be ruled out. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it fit to enlarge the applicant on anticipatory bail.

8. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is **allowed**.

9. In the event of the arrest of the applicant **Ayyaz Ali @ Raju**, he shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

i. the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. that the applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. that the applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 27, 2026
Fahad

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
FAHAD NIYAZ
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad