HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 10824 of 2026

Sanjeet

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Praveen Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, Learned counsel for the applicant, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.169 of 2026, under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 352, 351(3) and 109(1) of the B.N.S., P.S.- Jamaniya, District- Ghazipur, with a prayer to enlarge him on anticipatory bail as the applicant apprehends his arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. Although, the applicant is named in the FIR along with five other named accused and some unknown persons, no specific role has been assigned to him. The injured, who are five in number, have not received any injury which may be considered to be grievous in nature or dangerous to life. Learned counsel has further submitted that the incident is of 31.05.2026 at about 17:00 hours and the FIR was lodged on the next day on 01.06.2026 at 12:10 PM. Learned counsel has further submitted that the medical examination of the alleged injured took place around three o'clock on 1.06.2026 and the delayed lodging of the FIR as well as the medical examination clearly falsifies the entire prosecution version. The applicant has no criminal antecedents.

4. The Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail but could not point out any grievous injuries suffered by any of the injured nor the fact that the applicant has any criminal antecedents.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the parties and perused the material brought on the record.

6. The applicant claims to be a young man aged about eighteen years. The FIR has been lodged with a delay. The medical examination reports also appear to have been prepared on the next day of the occurrence. None of the injuries have been opined to be dangerous to life. No specific role has been assigned to the applicant. The applicant has no criminal antecedents. From the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the number and nature of injuries sustained by the injured as well as the number of accused nominated in the FIR, false implication of the applicant cannot be ruled out. Co-accused Arun Kumar, Munna Ram, Ranvijay Ram, Subhash and Dileep have already been granted regular bail by this Court in **Criminal Misc. Bail Application Nos. 27532 of 2026, 27674 of 2026, 27788 of 2026, 29933 of 2026 and 29981 of 2026,** respectively.

7. Taking into account all the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it fit to enlarge the applicant on anticipatory bail.

8. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is **allowed**.

9. In the event of the arrest of the applicant **Sanjeet**, he shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

i. the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. that the applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. that the applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

October 24, 2026
Sachin

Digitally signed by :-
SACHIN TIWARI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad