HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 10844 of 2026

Pappu Maurya

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Naveen Kumar Chaubey, Sanjay Srivastava

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, Learned counsel for the applicant, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.10 of 2026, under Sections 318(4), 317(4), 319(2), 338, 340(2), 336(3) BNS and Section 66 (C) and 66(D) of Information Technology Act, 2008, Police Station- Cyber Crime Bhadohi, District Bhadohi, with a prayer to enlarge him on anticipatory bail as the applicant apprehends his arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that applicant is the only named accused in the F.I.R. on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused, who were arrested by the police and other than the confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no other credible evidence against the applicant.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and contended that the names of the applicant and the other co-accused have surfaced in the confessional statement of the co-accused. The allegation against the applicant is that he was involved in committing cyber fraud and diverting the money obtained through such fraud into his own bank account and the accounts of other persons. Learned A.G.A. has further submitted that there is no denial or specific averment in the affidavit accompanying the bail application that the applicant did not receive any

money in his own account.

5. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

6. The co-accused, Mannu Singh and Om Prakash Gautam were arrested by the police and from their possession and at their pointing out, five mobile phones, two Aadhaar cards, five ATM cards, one PAN card, one Paytm QR code, three passbooks, three cheque books, two stamp pads, two account opening forms, 43 Aadhaar update forms, one thumb machine, seven SIM cards and cash amounting to Rs. 2,750/- were recovered.

7. In the statement of the co-accused persons, the name of the applicant has surfaced with the allegation that the applicant and the other accused persons used to commit cyber frauds and the money obtained through such frauds was deposited in their accounts and in the accounts of other persons, which was subsequently distributed amongst the accused persons. Upon a specific query made to learned counsel for the applicant, he has not been able to point out any specific denial on affidavit regarding the receipt of money in the applicant's bank account in connection with the cyber fraud in question.

8. The investigation is still in progress and the applicant may be required for custodial interrogation and further recoveries.

9. Taking into account all the facts and circumstances of the case, no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

10. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is dismissed.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

October 24, 2026
S.A.

Digitally signed by :-
SALMAN AHMAD
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad