HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 37082 of 2026

Angad Pal

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Janardan Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	Anurag Upadhyaya, Saurabh Anand Mishra, G.A.

Court No. - 64

HON'BLE VIVEK VARMA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Manoj Gautam, learned A.G.A. for the State-opposite party, and Sri Anurag Upadhyay, learned counsel for the informant.

2. The present bail application has been filed with the prayer to enlarge the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 181 of 2026, under Section 105 B.N.S., Police Station Saraimeer, District Azamgarh during the pendency of the trial.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. The alleged nursing home known as Jai Maa Sarveshwari Devi Nursing Home, Azamgarh was registered in the name of one Vinay Chaurasiya. The applicant has no concern with the alleged nursing home. The applicant has neither administered medicine nor conducted any medical procedure upon the deceased. No medical papers with regard to treatment provided at the said nursing home forms part of the case diary. At this stage there is no corroborative evidence to link the applicant with the offence. The applicant is in jail since 02.09.2026 having no criminal history and if he is released on bail, he will not misuse the said liberty.

4. Learned A.G.A. and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail but could not satisfactorily dispute the aforesaid submissions from the record.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusal of the

record, this Court prima facie finds that no medical papers with regard to treatment provided at the alleged nursing home forms part of the case diary. At this stage there is no corroborative evidence to link the applicant with the offence. The applicant has no criminal antecedents. Moreover, the applicant has remained confined for more than one and half month after submission of the charge-sheet there is no hope of early conclusion of trial, and no reasonable apprehension has been brought to the fore by the State that the applicant, if enlarged on bail, would either tamper with the evidence or delay the trial or intimidate the witness, therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

6. Let the applicant- Angad Pal, involved in the aforesaid case, be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Court concerned subject to the conditions that he:

(i) shall appear on the date fixed by the trial court;

(ii) shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence; and,

(iii) shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move bail cancellation application before this Court.

(Vivek Varma,J.)

October 28, 2026
SKT/-

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVA KANT TIWARI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad