HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 10838 of 2026

Shani @ Sani Kumar Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Gyan Prakash Ojha, Vinod Kumar Baranwal

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, Learned counsel for the applicant, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.348 of 2026, under Section 2/3 of U.P. Gangsters and Anti Social Activities (Prevention) Act, 1986, P.S. - Kotwali, District- Mathura, with a prayer to enlarge him on anticipatory bail as the applicant apprehends his arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. Three base cases have been shown in the gang chart against the applicant and in the said cases, the applicant is on bail. The applicant has not been convicted in any offence. The applicant has no other criminal history except the three base cases shown against him in the gang chart.

4. The Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, however, has been unable to dispute the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the applicant.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned counsels for the parties.

6. Taking into consideration the fact that the three base cases have been

shown against the applicant in which the applicant is on bail, having no other criminal history and also the fact that applicant is not a previous convict, I deem it fit to enlarge the applicant on anticipatory bail.

7. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicant is ***allowed***.

8. In the event of the arrest of the applicant- **Shani @ Sani Kumar Yadav**, he shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and surety to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

i. the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. that the applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. that the applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

9. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

10. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

October 24, 2026
A. Pandey

Digitally signed by :-
ANAND PANDEY
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad