2026:AHC:212397

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16735 of 2026

Akshay Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Mahesh Sharma
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. This petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-

"I.Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to open the Seal Cover Envelope of the promotion result of the petitioner for the vacancy of Selection Year 2016 and grant all benefits of promotion for the post of Head Constable, from the date when the promotion result of petitioner was kept in Sealed Cover Envelop and from the date his juniors have been granted promotion, in pursuance of the Government Order No.13/21/89-Ka-1-1997 dated 28/5/1997 which has been issued under the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of Union of India vs. K.V. Janki Raman, AIR 1991 SC 2010, with all consequential benefits alongwith interest, in the interest of justice.

II.Issue a writ, order or direction, in the nature of mandamus, commanding the Respondent Authorities, to consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion on the post of Head Constable, on the date from which the juniors to the petitioner have been granted promotion, in pursuance of the Government Order No.13/21/89-Ka-1-1997 dated 28/05/1997 which has been issued under the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of Union of India vs. K.V. Janki Raman, AIR 1991 SC 2010 with all consequential benefits, in the interest of justice.

III.Issue is writ order of direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation of petitioner dated 17.2.2026/26.09.2026, (Annexure No. 4 & 9 within stipulated period as fixed by this Hon'ble Court."

2. Learned Standing Counsel has submitted that as petitioner has already represented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending and it would be appropriate that respondent be directed to consider the grievance of the petitioner in accordance with law.

3. In view of these facts, concerned respondent is directed to consider and decide the representations of petitioner dated 17.2.2026 and 26.09.2026 in accordance with law, after providing opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties, expeditiously, preferably within a period of twelve weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

4. It is made clear that this court has not examined the matter on merits and the order shall be passed strictly in accordance with law.

5. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

October 9, 2026
Nitika Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
NITIKA SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad