HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 36412 of 2026

Vikram Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Akhilendra Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Sri Akhilendra Yadav, learned counsel for applicant hands up supplementary affidavit, the same is taken on record.

1A. Heard Sri Yadav, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant accused-**Vikram Singh** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 398 of 2026, under Section 316(5) BNS, P.S.- Shikohabad, District- Firozabad, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for applicant that the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in FIR dated 29.05.2026 lodged by Rahul Dubey, Branch Manager of Muthoot Microfin Company Ltd. against five persons including the accused applicant, who is the relationship manager with the allegation that the persons had misappropriated the equal monthly installment of the loanees, who were granted loan for self employment. It is alleged that an amount of Rs. 14 lakh has been transferred to the account of accused applicant and his relatives. It is further contended that the role of relationship manager is not for approval of the loan. There is no account number shown either of the applicant or his relatives in the case diary to show any transfer in the account. Further submits that there is no statement of 28 loanees to show any misappropriation of the EMI has been committed by accused applicant. There is no incriminating material against the applicant. The

accused applicant has no criminal history. Charge sheet is submitted. The trial is still at the nascent stage. The accused applicant is languishing in jail since 21.08.2026. He seeks enlargement of accused on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State opposed the bail application.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering that the allegation against the accused applicant that he has misappropriated the equal monthly installment of the loanees is not supported by any incriminating material, the accused/applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 28, 2026
Sharad/-

Digitally signed by :-
SHARAD KUMAR SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad