2026:AHC:212196

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16714 of 2026

Maya Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Arya Gautam, Atipriya Gautam, Sr. Advocate, Vaishnavi Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State and perused the record.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed for the following relief:

> *"a) Issue, a writ, order or direction, in the nature of Mandamus, directing the Respondent Authorities, to consider the claim of the Petitioner with regard to Pay the Extraordinary Pension in accordance with Rule-3, of the U.P. Police Extraordinary Pension Regulation 1961, (Amended by First Amendment Rules 1975) with the arrears w.e.f. 12/01/2026, within stipulated time along with arrears and interest.*
>
> *b) Issue, a writ, order or direction, in the nature of Mandamus, directing the Respondent Authorities, to Pay the Extraordinary Pension in accordance with Rule-3, of the U.P. Police (Extraordinary Pension Regulation 1961 (Amended by First Amendment Rules 1975) along with arrears and interest."*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is seeking extraordinary pension in accordance with Rule-3, of the U.P. Police Extraordinary Pension Regulation 1961, (Amended by First Amendment Rules 1975) with the arrears w.e.f. 12/01/2026. In this regard, the petitioner has moved a representation/application which is pending consideration.

4. Learned Standing Counsel has submitted that no useful purpose would be

served in keeping this petition pending and it would be appropriate to direct the respondent authority to decide the representation/application of the petitioner within a time frame as fixed by this Court.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition stands **disposed of** finally with a direction to respondent authority to consider and decide the aforementioned representation/application of the petitioner, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of **twelve weeks** from the date of production of a certified copy of this order after giving opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned provided that there is no other legal impediment.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

October 9, 2026
Ved Prakash

Digitally signed by :-
VED PRAKASH SHARMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad