2026:AHC:210688

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16585 of 2026

Yogesh Kumar Singh And 23 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Up And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Mohd. Yaseen, Pradeep Kumar Dwivedi, Siddharth Khare, Sr. Advocate
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Siddharth Singhal

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Standing Counsel and Shri Siddharth Singhal, learned counsel for respondent no. 4 and perused the record.

2. This petition has been filed for the following relief:

> *"(i) a writ, order or direction of a suitable nature commanding the respondent to accord consideration to the petitioners for appointment as Lekhpal in pursuance to advertisement no.01-Examination/2022 extending the benefit of physical disability reservation covered by government order dated 30.09.2024 to the petitioners within a period to be specified by this Hon'ble court.*
> *(ii) a writ, order or direction of a suitable nature commanding the respondent to grant appointment to the petitioners as Lekhpal treating the petitioner as duly selected in pursuance to advertisement no.01-Examination/2022 and covered by reservation quota for physically handicapped candidates."*

3. Contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that candidature of the petitioners has been rejected for the post of Lekhpal as the petitioners were having locomotor disability which is not covered under the Government Order dated 30.07.2021. It has been further submitted that later on by another Government Order dated 30.09.2024, locomotor

disability has been covered and this question has been considered by the Division Bench of this Court in case of **Neetu Kumar and 21 others v. Union of India and 4 others**, passed in **Writ A No. 18445 of 2023,** decided on 01.09.2026, wherein, this Court has directed as follows:

> *"In the circumstances we direct that in event vacant posts exist to fulfill 1% of aggregate 8085 posts then, those of petitioners who were found eligible are to be given appointment by way of reasonable accommodation. Otherwise, supernumerary posts are to be created for such accommodation. Petitioners will communicate certified copy of this order to respondent no. 4, who we are told is the appointing authority. There be compliance within six weeks thereafter."*

4. Shri Siddharth Singhal, learned counsel for the respondent though opposed the writ petition but could not deny that this Court in case of **Neetu Kumar and others** (Supra) has directed for consideration and the said order has yet not been challenged before the Supreme Court.

5. In view of these facts, this writ petition stands disposed of in terms of judgment passed in case of Neetu Kumar and others (Supra) and the respondents are directed to act accordingly.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

October 7, 2026
Nitika Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
NITIKA SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad