HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 36449 of 2026

Chandan Vikram

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Pankaj Kumar Shukla
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, learned counsel for the accused-applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the accused-applicant **Chandan Vikram** to enlarge her on bail in Case Crime No. 52 of 2026, under Sections 319(2), 316(5), 338, 336(3), 340(1), 61(2) BNS, P.S.- Pakoliya, District- Basti, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the accused-applicant that the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in the FIR dated 21.4.2026 lodged by one Subash against two co-accused, namely, Jawahar Lal and Diwakar Vikram, against whom there is allegation that they have surreptitiously misappropriated the fund from the Grahak Seva Kendra. It is the contention that the accused applicant has not been named in the FIR. During the investigation, the name of the accused applicant was figured out. The accused-applicant is the son of co-accused, Jawahar Lal and owned a shop adjacent to the Grahak Seva Kendra, owned by his father. The co-accused Diwakar Vikram is the son of Jawahar Lal, who is cashier. Further contended that there is a transaction of Rs.11 lakhs from the account of his father to his account, out of which, Rs. 8 lakhs was returned and Rs. 3 lakhs is still with the accused-applicant in his account, which was the transaction between the father and son. It was not related to any misappropriation done at the Grahak Seva Kendra. The two named accused are not traceable. The charge sheet is submitted. The trial is still

at the nascent stage. The accused applicant has no criminal history. The applicant is in jail since 2.9.2026 and hence, the applicant seeks to be released on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant and submitted that there is the statement of two witnesses, namely, Sheela and Seema Singh stating in their statement the involvement of the accused-applicant, as when she asked for the receipt, the same was refused by the accused-applicant.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considered the role of accused-applicant and his separate shop adjacent to the shop of Grahak Seva Kendra and the account transaction between the father and the son.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685]**.

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 28, 2026
Shivangi

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVANGI YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad