HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No. - 10765 of 2026

Ramanand Patel And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Prateek Pratap Singh, Sanjay Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.

1. Heard, Learned counsel for the applicants, the Learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicants in Case Crime No.200 of 2026, under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 352, 351(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S., P.S.- Raunapar, District- Azamgarh, with a prayer to enlarge them on anticipatory bail as the applicants apprehend their arrest in the above-mentioned case.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants has contended that the applicants are father and son. They have been falsely nominated in the FIR. The injured, who are two in number, have received injuries which are not dangerous to life. General role of exhortation has been assigned to the applicants. The specific role of assault has been assigned to co-accused Chandan Patel. The co-accused Chandan Patel has been granted bail by this Court in **Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 31489 of 2026**. Learned counsel for the applicants has contended that the applicants have no criminal antecedents.

4. Learned AGA has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail and has contended that in the first information report, general role of assault has been given to the applicants along with the other co-accused. The injured have received serious injuries. They may not be considered for grant of anticipatory bail.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the

parties and perused the material brought on the record.

6. Out of the two injured, the injured Badami Devi has received two visible injuries, one being a lacerated wound on the fronto parietal region of the head and the other being a contusion on the left arm. Avnish Patel, the other injured, has received two visible injuries, both being contusions, one being on the right palm and the other on the lateral part of left scapula. None of the injuries have been opined to be dangerous to life. The applicant no. 1 is an old man aged about seventy-four years. The applicant no.2 is his son. The other nominated accused in the FIR namely Chandan Patel and Bachiya Devi are the son and wife of applicant no. 1. In the opinion of the Court, taking note of the number and nature of injuries sustained, as also the fact that all the accused nominated are members of one family, false implication of the applicants cannot be ruled out.

7. Taking into account all the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it fit to enlarge the applicants on anticipatory bail.

8. In view of the above, the anticipatory bail application of the applicants is **allowed**.

9. In the event of the arrest of the applicants, **Ramanand Patel** and **Kanchan Patel**, they shall be released forthwith in the aforesaid case crime on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond and surety each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Station House Officer of the police station/Court concerned with the following conditions:-

i. the applicants shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii. that the applicants shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii. that the applicants shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

iv. that the applicants shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witness;

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the Court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail.

11. It is made clear that observations made hereinabove are exclusively for deciding the instant anticipatory bail application and are not to be considered to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)

October 23, 2026
Sachin

Digitally signed by :-
SACHIN TIWARI
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad