2026:AHC:211080

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16611 of 2026

Manoj Kumar Bhardwaj And 34 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

The Union Of India And 7 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Arya Gautam, Atipriya Gautam, Sr. Advocate, Vaishnavi Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	A.S.G.I.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed for the following relief:

> *"a) issue, a writ order or direction, in the nature of Mandamus, directing the Respondent Authorities, to consider the grievance of the Petitioner s with regard to their transfer, from Railway Protection Special Force (RPSF) to Railway Protection Force (RPF), in Pursuance of the Clause 8(ii) of the Directive 60 dated 29/03/2023, and Directive 58 dated 18/03/2024, as the Petitioner has already completed more than 08 years of their services in the Railway Protection Special Force (RPSF).*
>
> *b) issue, a writ order or direction, in the nature of Mandamus, directing the Respondent Authorities, to transfer the Petitioners from Railway Protection Special Force (RPSF) to Railway Protection Force (RPF), in Pursuance of the Clause 8(ii) of the Directive 60 dated 29/03/2023, and Directive 58 dated 18/03/2024, as the Petitioners have already completed more than 08 years of their services in the Railway Protection Special Force (RPSF)."*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are working as Railway Protection Special Force and they are seeking transfer to Railway Protection Force in Pursuance of the Clause 8(ii) of the Directive 60 dated 29/03/2023, and Directive 58 dated 18/03/2024, as the Petitioners have already completed more than 08 years of their services in the Railway

Protection Special Force (RPSF). It has also been submitted that the petitioners have already moved application/representation in this regard.

4. Learned Counsel for Respondents has submitted that no useful purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending.

5. Looking into the facts of the case, it is provided that in case, the petitioners move fresh representation before the competent authority, the same shall be decided, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of **twelve weeks** from the date of filing of representations after giving opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the petition is **disposed of**.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

October 8, 2026
Ved Prakash

Digitally signed by :-
VED PRAKASH SHARMA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad