2026:AHC:211268

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16641 of 2026

Smt. Heeramani Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Akhilesh Kumar Tiwari
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE MANISH KUMAR NIGAM, J.

1. This writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-

"(i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding respondent nos.2 to 5 to consider and decide the petitioner's claim for payment of her outstanding honorarium from April, 2025 onwards, after verification of the relevant official records, including payroll, attendance, MGNREGA/Management Information System (MIS) and other relevant records, and to release the amount found legally due within a time-bound period.

(ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding respondent no.2 to consider and decide the petitioner's representation dated 13.08.2026 (Annexure No.4 to the writ petition), within a time-bound period.

(iii) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the competent respondent authority to conduct a fair, impartial and independent inquiry into the grievances raised by the petitioner regarding the alleged pressure in relation to attendance/muster rolls, alleged threats and other allegations contained in her representations and complaints, by an officer/team having no prior involvement in the earlier inquiry;

(iv) issue a writ, order or direction commanding the competent authority to examine the relevant original records, including the petitioner's payroll, honorarium register, muster rolls, attendance records, job-card records, e-KYC records, geo-tagging records, MGNREGA/MIS records and records relating to plantation and other works of Gram Panchayat Mai, for determining the petitioner's entitlement to honorarium."

2. Learned Standing Counsel has submitted that as petitioner has already

represented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending and it would be appropriate that respondent No. 2 be directed to consider the grievance of the petitioner in accordance with law.

3. In view of these facts, respondent No. 2 is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 13.08.2026 of the petitioner in accordance with law, after providing opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties, expeditiously, preferably within a period of twelve weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

4. It is made clear that this court has not examined the matter on merits and the order shall be passed strictly in accordance with law.

5. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of.

(Manish Kumar Nigam,J.)

October 8, 2026
Nitika Sri.

Digitally signed by :-
NITIKA SRIVASTAVA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad