2026:AHC:210869

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35981 of 2026

Rashmi

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Gyanendra Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Heard Sri Gyanendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for accused applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant accused-**Rashmi** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 252 of 2024, under Sections 352, 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 351(2), 351(3), 131, 61(2) of BNS, P.S.- Kamalganj, District- Farrukhabad during the pendency of trial.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for accused applicant that the accused applicant has been falsely implicated in FIR dated 21.11.2024 with the allegation levelled by Harsh Tripathi that a sham agreement to sale got registered by Rajendra an imposter in favour of the informant. The co-accused Vipin was one of the witness of the agreement to sale. The accused applicant is the wife of Vipin. The implicating circumstances is such that Rs.1 lakh, which Vipin has accepted was credited in the account of the accused applicant as the account number is connected with the mobile number of Vipin. It is further contended that the accused has no complicity in the present case. Vipin, who is the witness of the agreement to sale, has already been granted bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court. The charge sheet has been submitted. The applicant has no criminal history. The applicant is languishing in jail since 14.9.2026. He seeks enlargement of accused on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the bail application.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering the complicity of the accused applicant in the present case as amount is credited in her account, which is connected with mobile number of her husband, who has already been granted bail, the accused applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 8, 2026
Krishna*

Digitally signed by :-
KRISHNA KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad