2026:AHC:209941

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16512 of 2026

Vineeta

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 8 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Arvind Kumar Yadav, Narendra Kumar Chaturvedi
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Kushmondeya Shahi

Court No. - 52

HON'BLE MRS. MANJU RANI CHAUHAN, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate holding brief of Mr. Kushmondeya Shahi, learned counsel for the respondent no.2.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed with the following prayers:

"a) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari calling for the records of the case and quashing the impugned result dated 28.07.2026 of the Selection for the post of Trained Graduate Teacher, subject-Hindi, declared by the Uttar Pradesh Education Service Selection Commission, Prayagraj, only to the extent of non-selection of the petitioner and the impugned final answer key / master set of final answer key dated 28.07.2026 issued by the Selection Board.

c) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to make selection of the petitioner on the post of Trained Graduate Teacher, subject Hindi, on the basis of attempted Question No. 48 of the question booklet, corresponding to Question No. 102 of the impugned master set of final answer key dated 28.07.2026, in pursuance of the Advertisement No. 01/2022 for Trained Graduate Teacher, issued by the Secretary, Uttar Pradesh Education Service Selection Commission, Prayagraj.

d) Issue any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case.

e) Award the cost of the writ petition in favour of the petitioner."

3. The counsel for the respondent No.2/Commission states that the question No.102 has already been decided by Lucknow Bench of this Court **in Writ-A No 8055 of 2026 (Seema Gupta and 20 others Vs. State of U.P. and 2**

others) decided on 28.09.2026, regarding the specific question No.102 wherein taking both options to be correct in respect to question the natural consequence to award marks accordingly to all the candidates who have opted for either of the options as follows;-

8) "Considering the fact that a decision has already been taken by the respondents that option no. 'C' and option No.'D' both are correct in respect of question no.102, the natural consequence would be that all the applicants who had answered the question no.102 by giving answer 'C' or answer 'D' would be entitled to the marks. The respondents may take a decision and proceed with the declaration of result accordingly."

4. Considering the aforesaid fact the petition is disposed of with the direction that respondents may take decision and proceed with the declaration of result accordingly after awarding marks to the applicants who have answered one of the options as per the decision taken by Lucknow Bench this Court in the aforesaid judgement.

5. With the aforesaid, the petition is disposed of.

October 7, 2026
Neeraj

(Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
NEERAJ KUMAR SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad