**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35693 of 2026**

Satyam Vishwakarma

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Abhishek Pratap, Ashwani Tiwari, Gaya Prasad Mishra

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 69**

**HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.**

1. Learned A.G.A. for the State has filed the counter affidavit. The same is taken on record.

1A. Heard Sri Gaya Prasad Mishra, learned counsel for the accused-applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the accused-applicant **Satyam Vishwakarma** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 248 of 2026, under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 338, 336(3), 61(2) BNS, P.S.- Kaptanganj, District- Azamgarh, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the accused-applicant that the accused-applicant has been falsely implicated in F.I.R. dated 02.08.2026 lodged by the informant, Sheela Singh with the allegation against three accused persons, namely, Govind, Prema and wife of Govind. It is allegation in the F.I.R. that the informant has purchased a chunk of land from Govind, where the co-accused Prema was a mediator. The initial money was transferred in the account of Govind. Further contended that the accused-applicant, who was called as a witness in the sale deed by co-accused Prema, has been projected as a seller without his knowledge. Further contended that the co-accused Prema has already been granted bail, who has accepted money in her account. At the time of the sale deed, the OTP was generated in the mobile phone of Govind, which has not been used by the accused-applicant. Further contended that the co-

accused Prema has been instrumental in entering the deed. There is no money transferred to the account of accused-applicant. He has been made a victim and scapegoat in this matter. The charge sheet is submitted. The applicant has no criminal history. The applicant is in jail since 11.08.2026 and hence, the applicant seeks to be released on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant, but could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considered that the accused-applicant has been projected as the owner of the property and has executed the sale deed considering himself to be the witness, though initial money has been transacted to the account of Govind.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

**(Avnish Saxena,J.)**

**October 28, 2026**
Shivangi

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVANGI YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad