2026:AHC:209840

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16467 of 2026

Akhilesh Chandra Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Gajendra Singh Chauhan
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	Avneesh Tripathi, C.S.C.

Court No. - 52

HON'BLE MRS. MANJU RANI CHAUHAN, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Shailendra Singh, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents, Mr. Shivam Varma, learned Advocate holding brief of Sri Avneesh Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondent no.3.

2. The writ petition has been filed with the prayer to direct the District Inspector of Schools of district, Farrukhabad for fixing the salary of the petitioner as Principal w.e.f. 01.07.2014 and further to direct payment of arrears of salary along with 12% interest till the date of release of salary and further prayed to pay the regular salary to the petitioner till date of actual release of the petitioner from the post of Principal and pay arrears of regular salary w.e.f. 01.04.2025. A representation dated 08.02.2026 has been placed before the respondent No.2, for direction to pay regular salary of the petitioner.

3. Mr. Shailendra Singh, learned Standing Counsel submits that the petition has been filed after delay of 12 years, therefore, it should be dismissed on ground of latches. In support of his contention, he has relied upon the judgment of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Bhagirath Prasad Sharma vs. State of U.P. and 4 Others, decided on 09.07.2024 passed in Writ-A No.9709 of 2024 (Neutral Citation No.- 2024:AHC:111436) and Smt. Santosh Kumari Uppal vs. State Of Uttar Pradesh And 6 Others, decided on 18.05.2026 passed in Writ-A No.6923 of 2026 (Neutral Citation No.- 2026:AHC:114362).** He has also placed reliance upon a judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in **Special Appeal No.973 of 2024 (Ram Kishor Dixit vs. State Of U.P. And 7**

Others):Neutral Citation No.2024:AHC:183119-DB.

4. It is settled law that a person, who is not vigilant and dormant about his/her right, cannot be allowed to agitate his/her right as has been held by the Apex Court in the case of **Central Coalfields Limited through its Chairman and Managing Director & Ors. Vs. Parden Oraon reported in 2021 SCC OnLine SC 299.**

5. In view of the aforesaid, this Court finds no good ground to entertain the present writ petition. It is, accordingly, **dismissed.**

October 7, 2026
Neeraj

(Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
NEERAJ KUMAR SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad