2026:AHC:209985

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16491 of 2026

Onkar Nath Rai

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Shashank Kumar, Tej Prakash Yadav
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Mritunjay Mohan Sahai

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondents and perused the record on board.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

> *"(i) Issue a writ, order, or direction in the nature of MANDAMUS commanding Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 (Regional Manager & Service Manager, UPSRTC, Azamgarh) to grant 1st, 2nd, and 3rd ACP financial upgrades to the Petitioner from their respective due dates, in strict adherence to Rule 5 of the 1995 Rules, M.D. HQ Circulars dated 22.04.2015 & 20.06.2025, and the High Court Judgment dated 20.05.2019 in Writ-A No. 7057 of 2019.*
>
> *(ii) Issue a writ, order, or direction in the nature of MANDAMUS directing the Respondents to calculate and pay all monetary arrears of ACP along with revised retiral/pensionary benefits, together with interest @ 9% per annum from the date of superannuation (31.08.2017) until actual payment.*
>
> *(iii) issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the Petitioner's representation dated 20.05.2026 (Annexure No. 3 to the Writ Petition) by a reasoned and speaking order within a short and stipulated period as fixed by this Hon'ble Court."*

3. Sri Mritunjay Mohan Sahai, learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 2 to 4 has contended that the grievance of the present petitioner regarding the benefit of 1st, 2nd and 3rd ACP as raised in the instant writ petition can be more appropriately redressed by the Managing Director (respondent No. 2), and he has no objection, in case, a direction is issued for

expeditious disposal of a fresh representation to be moved by the petitioner within such stipulated period as may be directed by this Court.

4. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this matter pending. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without making any observation on the merits of the case, with liberty to the petitioner to move a fresh representation before the Managing Director (respondent No. 2), to ventilate his grievance, as averred in the present writ petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the present writ petition, and, in turn, he shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **three months** from the date of production of such representation.

5. With the aforesaid observation, present writ petition is **disposed of.**

October 7, 2026
vinay

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINAY KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad