2026:AHC:209514

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 16441 of 2026

Smt. Munija

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Mushir Ahmad Siddiqui
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Pankaj Srivastava

Court No. - 32

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondents and perused the record on board.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, seeking the following reliefs:

> *"i. to issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondent authorities to take a final decision upon the petitioner's medical reimbursement claim and to release the amount of Rs. 92,450/- and Rs.77,685/- , which has been found admissible after technical scrutiny by the Chief Medical Officer, Meerut, vide letter dated 21.04.2026 and 04.08.2026 and also direct the respondent No.4/competent authority to complete all consequential sanction and payment formalities and release the aforesaid claim amount of the petitioner.*
>
> *ii. to issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.4 to pass an appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner dated 21.08.2026 (Annexure No.5) expeditiously within stipulated period as fixed by this Hon'ble Court."*

3. Sri Pankaj Srivastava, learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 2 and 3 and the learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondents No. 1 and 4 have contended that the grievance of the present petitioner raised in the instant writ petition can be more appropriately redressed by the Municipal Commissioner (respondent No. 2), and they have no objection, in case, a direction is issued for expeditious disposal of a fresh representation to be moved by the petitioner within such stipulated period as may be directed by this Court.

4. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served by

keeping this matter pending. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without making any observation on the merits of the case, with liberty to the petitioner to move a fresh representation before the Municipal Commissioner (respondent No. 2), to ventilate his grievance, as averred in the present writ petition, within a period of three weeks from today, along with a certified copy of this order and a self attested copy of the present writ petition, and, in turn, he shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of **three months** from the date of production of such representation.

5. With the aforesaid observation, present writ petition is **disposed of.**

October 7, 2026
vinay

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VINAY KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad