2026:AHC:209765

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35896 of 2026**

Arjun Pasi

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Ajay Tripathi, Surya Prakash Pandey
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 65**

**HON'BLE SAMEER JAIN, J.**

1. Heard Sri Ajay Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri Rajeev Dhar Dwivedi, learned AGA for the State.

2. The instant bail application has been filed seeking release of the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 155 of 2026, under Sections 103(1) BNS, Police Station Saray Akil, District Kaushambi during pendency of the trial.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits, it is a case of circumstantial evidence and nobody was named in the FIR but during investigation when the statement of the daughter of the deceased was recorded then very casually she disclosed the name of applicant.

4. He further submits, except suspicion and confessional statement of applicant there is no cogent evidence on record which can connect the applicant with the instant crime.

5. He further submits, apart from the present case applicant is having criminal history of one another case but that case relate to minor offence.

6. He further submits, in the present matter applicant is in jail since 04.06.2026.

7. Per contra, learned AGA opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the argument on facts advanced by learned counsel for the applicant.

8. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record of the case.

9. It is a case of circumstantial evidence and nobody was named in the FIR and it reflects, during investigation when statement of the daughter of the deceased was recorded then she disclosed the name of applicant on the basis of suspicion. It reflects, except suspicion and confessional statement of applicant recorded by the Investigating Officer there is no cogent evidence against the applicant on record.

10. Further, however, apart from the present case applicant is having criminal history of one another case but that case relates to minor offence.

11. Further, in the present matter applicant is in jail since 04.06.2026.

12. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed above, in my view, applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

13. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant bail application is **allowed**.

14. Let the applicant - Arjun Pasi be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall appear before the trial court on the dates fixed, unless his personal presence is exempted.

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(iii) The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal and anti-social activity.

15. In case of breach of any of the above condition, the prosecution will be at liberty to move an application before this Court for cancellation of the bail of the applicant.

16. It is clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the facts brought in by the parties pertaining to the disposal of bail application and the said observations shall have no bearing on the merits of the case during trial.

**October 7, 2026**
AK Pandey

**(Sameer Jain,J.)**

Digitally signed by :-
ANUPAM KUMAR PANDEY
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad