2026:AHC:209877

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 35701 of 2026

Lalu @ Rakesh Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Deeksha Gupta, Siddharth Srivastava
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. Sri Siddharth Srivastava, learned counsel for the accused-applicant hands up the supplementary affidavit explaining the criminal history. The same is taken on record.

1A. Heard Sri Srivastava, learned counsel for the accused-applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present bail application has been moved by the accused-applicant **Lalu @ Rakesh Kumar** to enlarge him on bail in Case Crime No. 132 of 2026, under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 351(3) BNS, P.S.- Rani ki Sarai, District- Azamgarh, during the pendency of trial.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the accused-applicant that the accused-applicant has not been named in the F.I.R. dated 27.05.2026 lodged by Amit Yadav against two named and some anonymous persons with the allegation that the accused persons used to take money for getting a job. The role attributed on the accused-applicant during the investigation is that he used to cover the student of Bihar area for getting a job for money. His name is reflected from the statement of co-accused Mannu Sharma. The charge sheet is submitted. The applicant has 5 cases criminal history, which is opened by the police after the present case. In two cases, he is on bail and in three cases, the bail application is pending. The applicant is in jail since 27.07.2026 and hence, the applicant seeks to be released on bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. for State has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant, but could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. This Court has taken into consideration the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considered that the name of accused-applicant has been figured out from the statement of co-accused and that the money is allegedly being transferred for purpose of getting a job.

7. Hence, without touching merit of the case, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. The accused/applicant be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of court concerned in view of observation made by the Supreme Court in its dictums of **Girish Gandhi Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh [(2024) 10 SCC 674] and Policy Strategy for grant of bail in re [(2024)10SCC 685].**

9. The accused-applicant shall cooperate in judicial process for fair and speedy disposal.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

October 7, 2026
Shivangi

Digitally signed by :-
SHIVANGI YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad